

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 15-mj-30088

vs.

VIOREL PRICOP,

Defendant.

---

**STIPULATION TO ADJOURN PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION AND  
FIND EXCLUDABLE DELAY UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT**

The United States of America and Defendant VIOREL PRICOP

("Defendant"), by and through their respective counsel, stipulate and agree that there is good cause to adjourn the Preliminary Examination in this case until July 8, 2016. *See* Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1(d). This extension of time is necessary to allow the parties to continue to investigate the facts of this case and engage in pre-indictment plea negotiations. Defendant, through counsel, concurs in this request and agrees that an extension of time is in his best interest.

The parties stipulate and agree that this Stipulation and any order resulting therefrom shall not affect any previous order of pretrial detention or pretrial release.

The parties also stipulate and agree that the period from May 10, 2016 to July 8, 2016, should be excluded in calculating the time within which an information or indictment must be filed under the Speedy Trial Act because, for the reasons set forth herein, the parties are engaged in plea negotiations. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1).

Additionally, the parties agree that the adjournment from May 10, 2016 to July 8, 2016, would serve the ends of justice, and that the failure to grant this continuance “would deny counsel for the Defendant . . . the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.” 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). Therefore, “the ends of justice served” by the granting of such continuance will “outweigh the best interest of the public and the [D]efendant in a speedy trial.” 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

Accordingly, the parties request that the Court find that, under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(1) and (h)(7)(A), the period of delay, as a result of the continuance, shall be excluded in computing the time within which an information or indictment must be filed.

**IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

s / Adriana N. Dydell  
ADRIANA N. DYDELL  
Assistant United States Attorney  
211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001  
Detroit, Michigan 48226  
Phone: 313-226-9125  
Email: [adriana.dydell@usdoj.gov](mailto:adriana.dydell@usdoj.gov)  
CA Bar No. 239516

s /Leon J. Weiss (w/consent)  
LEON J. WEISS  
Law Office of Leon J. Weiss  
19390 West 10 Mile Road  
Southfield, Michigan 48075-2458  
Phone: 248-568-7453  
Email: [ljweisslaw@aol.com](mailto:ljweisslaw@aol.com)  
P35996

s / Julie Beck  
JULIE BECK  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Forfeiture and Financial Litigation Unit  
211 West Fort Street, Ste 2001  
Detroit, MI 48226  
(313) 226-9717  
Email: [julie.beck@usdoj.gov](mailto:julie.beck@usdoj.gov)  
P53291

Dated: May 6, 2016

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 15-mj-30088

vs.

VIOREL PRICOP,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**ORDER ADJOURNING PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION**

This matter coming before the court on the stipulation of the parties, it is hereby **ORDERED** that good cause exists to continue the Preliminary Examination in this case from May 10, 2016 to July 8, 2016 at 1:00 p.m. *See* Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1(d).

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the period from May 10, 2016 up to and through the new date of the preliminary examination, July 8, 2016, shall be excluded in calculating the time within which an information or indictment must be filed because the parties are actively engaged in plea negotiations and because, for the reasons set forth in the parties' stipulation, the ends of justice served by such

continuance outweigh the interests of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial.

*See* 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1) & (h)(7).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/R. Steven Whalen  
HON. R. STEVEN WHALEN  
United States Magistrate Judge

Date Entered: May 10, 2016